Editon Consortium Journal of Business and Management Studies [ISSN: 2664 - 9470]

Editon Consortium Journal of Business and Management Stud

Issue no: 08 | **Vol no:** 01 | **July** 2026

Navigating the Modern Workplace Through Emerging Skills, Strategic Change, and Growth Mindset

Michael Ndonye

Kabarak University, Kenya
Main author's email: **mndonye84@gmail.com**

Received: **2026.05.10**
Accepted: **2026.06.15**
Published: **2026.07.25**

Cite this article in APA
Ndonye, M. M. (2026). Navigating the modern workplace through emerging skills, strategic change, and growth mindset. *Editon consortium journal of business and management studies*, *8*(1), 126–133. https://doi.org/10.51317/ecjbms.v7i1.778

Abstract
The purpose of the study is to explore key conceptual factors shaping organisational life in the face of rapid technological change, hybrid collaboration, and global interconnectedness. Set against a background where the modern workplace demands new competencies and resilient mindsets from leaders and employees alike, this paper focuses on three interrelated themes: emerging skills, change management, and the growth mindset. Employing an interpretive literature-integration and narrative synthesis approach, the methodology draws upon historical reflections and workplace theory to examine how organisations navigate systemic transitions. The central findings illustrate how emerging competencies such as emotional intelligence and adaptability intersect with historical patterns of resistance to innovation, while conceptualising the growth mindset as the sustaining attitude that enables individuals and institutions to thrive amidst uncertainty. The study concludes that while specific skill sets evolve, the capacity to embrace change endures as the foundation of leadership effectiveness, famously capturing that skills fade, but mindsets endure. Ultimately, it recommends adopting a unified theoretical framework that integrates skills, change management, and mindset through rigorous narrative synthesis to guide future organisational strategy and leadership development.

Key words: Change management, emerging skills, growth mindset, leadership resilience, workplace transformation.

Editon OPEN ACCESS

This article is distributed under the license of a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. It is permitted to be used, reproduced and distributed in line with Editon Consortium Publishing guidelines.

INTRODUCTION

The modern workplace has been undergoing a structural transformation driven by the rapid convergence of digital automation, hybrid collaboration models, and global interconnectedness. Traditional notions of stability and hierarchy have given way to environments where adaptability, creativity, and continuous learning are indispensable. Scholars emphasise that 21st-century employability depends less on static qualifications and more on dynamic competencies such as emotional intelligence, critical thinking, and cross-cultural communication (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2023; Bouwmans et al., 2024).

At the same time, organisations face persistent challenges in managing change. Resistance to innovation, whether in adopting automobiles in the early 20th century, computers in the late 20th century, or artificial intelligence today, illustrates the enduring tension between progress and tradition (Alshaikh et al., 2026; Teerikangas et al., 2026). Effective leadership must therefore balance vision with strategy, guiding individuals and institutions through transitions that are often met with scepticism or opposition.

These skills and strategies rest on mindset. Research on growth mindset shows that individuals who have a growth mindset are more resilient, innovative, and proactive when facing workplace challenges (Yeager & Dweck, 2020; Chen et al., 2026). This orientation transforms failure into feedback and change into opportunity, positioning mindset as the enduring foundation of leadership effectiveness.

An examination of contemporary organisational literature reveals that research on workforce skills, change management, and cognitive mindset typically operates in distinct academic silos. This paper integrates them within the broader discourse on workplace transformation, drawing on historical anecdotes and contemporary scholarship to show that while specific skills may fade, adaptive mindsets endure as the true measure of thriving in the modern workplace.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The modern workplace is undergoing profound transformation, shaped by technological disruption, globalisation, and evolving expectations of leadership and resilience. Scholarship has explored this landscape through three main lenses: employability skills, organisational change, and mindset, but often in isolation, leaving a gap in understanding their interdependence. This review addresses that gap by integrating contemporary research with historical and biblical case studies, showing how digital literacy, emotional intelligence, adaptability, creativity, and growth mindset intersect with resistance to change and the sustaining power of resilience. Narratives such as Alexander the Great's demand for accountability, Edison's defence of creativity, Ford's persistence against scepticism, and biblical accounts like the Battle at Gibeah and Apostle Peter's journey illustrate that skills, change, and mindset are tested across time and cultures.

By combining academic scholarship with narrative evidence, the review develops a holistic framework in which skills provide tools, change management offers strategy, and mindset sustains both, enabling individuals and organisations to thrive in environments defined by disruption and high expectations.

Emerging Skills in the Modern Workplace

The rapid change in organisations has redefined the competencies required to thrive in contemporary workplaces. While foundational capacities like communication and problem-solving have long been recognised in organisational literature, the modern economy increasingly classifies certain competencies as "emerging" because digital automation, artificial intelligence, and global connectivity have radically expanded their operational scope, urgency, and application. Traditional qualifications alone are insufficient; success now depends on an agile portfolio of dynamic skills that enable individuals to navigate unprecedented complexity and volatility. Scholars emphasise that digital literacy, enhanced emotional intelligence, contextual adaptability, applied creativity, and cross-cultural communication form the core of twenty-first-century employability (Bouwmans et al., 2024; Newman & Obschonka, 2021; Hasanuzzaman & Sooraksa, 2023; Nunes et al., 2026).

Digital literacy has become an indispensable emerging competency, extending far beyond basic computer proficiency to include fluency with AI tools, advanced data analysis, cybersecurity awareness, and the capacity to leverage digital platforms for collaborative innovation. Similarly, emotional intelligence, defined as the ability to perceive, regulate, and respond to emotions, has taken on renewed urgency, underpinning effective teamwork and inclusive leadership within distributed, hybrid teams (Goleman, 1995). Adaptability has likewise evolved from

a general trait into a critical survival skill, enabling employees to unlearn outdated practices and rapidly embrace new methods in volatile operational environments (Yeager & Dweck, 2020). Concurrently, critical thinking and creativity remain essential for analysing complex information and generating innovative solutions, while cross-cultural communication strengthens collaboration in hyper-globalised workplaces (Hasanuzzaman & Sooraksa, 2023).

Historical and narrative case studies illustrate these principles vividly. Thomas Edison's confrontation with the Chicago Tribune provides a striking example of creativity and problem-solving as the true measure of intelligence. When cross-examined in court, Edison struggled to answer trivia questions such as "Who was Benedict Arnold?" or "What countries border Russia?" His inability to recall such facts was used to humiliate him, yet Edison reframed the attack into a declaration of principle: intelligence lay not in memorisation but in invention. He insisted his genius lay in solving problems and creating new technologies, not in storing information found in books. Although he technically lost the case, the episode cemented his philosophy that knowledge matters less for recall than for application. This illustrates the principle of creativity as a core emerging employability skill, aligning with workplace theories that emphasise innovation over rote knowledge (Scott & Bruce, 1994).

Henry Ford's innovations in the automobile industry further show how these dynamic skills shape workplace successes. His 1908 invention of the Model T and the perfection of the moving assembly line in 1913 revolutionised production, cutting manufacturing time from twelve hours to ninety minutes. Ford's vision democratized mobility, transforming cars from luxury items into affordable necessities. Yet his innovations faced strong opposition: competing automakers criticised his lack of variety, the public dismissed cars as noisy and impractical, and workers resisted the monotony of assembly line labour. To retain staff, Ford introduced the famous five-dollar-a-day wage, doubling average pay.

Despite criticism, his persistence and adaptability ensured that by the 1920s millions of cars were produced, reshaping economies and lifestyles worldwide. This case highlights adaptability and resilience as essential employability skills, reinforcing the scholarly consensus that innovation requires not only competence in techniques but also the ability to manage resistance (Yeager & Dweck, 2020).

Together, these narratives reinforce the scholarly consensus that modern competencies are not static traits, but evolving capacities that must be continuously cultivated in response to technological disruption. Edison's insistence on creativity over rote recall and Ford's persistence in the face of systemic resistance both exemplify the adaptability, problem-solving, and resilience demanded in contemporary workplaces. As Yeager and Dweck (2020) aptly observe, "skills expire faster than ever but the ability to learn keeps you relevant."

Henry Ford's innovations in the automobile industry further show how emerging skills shape workplace success. His 1908 Model T and the subsequent 1913 refinement revolutionised mass production, famously reducing chassis assembly time from twelve hours to ninety minutes (Hounshell,1984). Ford's vision democratized mobility, transforming automobiles from luxury novelties into affordable societal necessities (Nevins & Hill, 1957). Yet, these innovations faced substantial resistance: competing automakers criticised his lack of product variety, the general public initially dismissed early motorcars as impractical novelties, and factory workers strongly resisted the intense physical monotony of assembly-line labour (Meyer, 1981). To combat high turnover and retain a stable workforce, Ford famously introduced the five-dollar-a-day wage in 1914, more than doubling prevailing average manufacturing pay (Raff & Summers, 1987).

Despite widespread scepticism and operational friction, his strategic persistence and organisational adaptability ensured that by the 1920s, millions of vehicles were produced, permanently reshaping global economies and lifestyles (Sorensen, 1956). This case highlights adaptability and resilience as essential employability skills, reinforcing the scholarly consensus that innovation requires not only technical ability but also capacity to manage systemic resistance (Yeager & Dweck, 2020).

Together, these narratives reinforce the scholarly consensus that emerging skills are not static competencies but evolving capacities that must be continuously cultivated. Edison's insistence on creativity over rote recall and Ford's persistence in the face of resistance both exemplify the adaptability, problem-solving, and resilience demanded in modern workplaces. As Yeager and Dweck (2020) aptly observe, *"skills expire faster than ever but the ability to learn keeps you relevant."*

Organisational Change and Resistance

Organisational change has long been recognised as both necessary and contested. Scholars emphasise that resistance arises from human, systemic, and cultural constraints, making change management a central leadership challenge. Kotter (1995) underscores the importance of vision and communication in guiding transformation, while Beer and Nohria (2000) highlight the dual challenge of structural and cultural alignment. Hartman (2026) advances this discussion by proposing a multilevel model of resistance, and Alshaikh et al. (2026) examine scepticism in technology-driven contexts. Teerikangas et al. (2026) further argue that resistance is not merely opposition but a spectrum of engagement, ranging from readiness to scepticism. Oreg et al. (2011), in their sixty-year review, confirm that opposition to change persists across organisational settings; historical and narrative accounts vividly illustrate how systemic resistance accompanies organisational change.

Henry Ford's transition to mass production faced multi-faceted opposition: competing automakers resisted his standardisation, the public initially dismissed automotive innovation, and factory workers pushed back against the intense monotony of early assembly lines. Rather than viewing this friction as a mere operational hurdle, Ford's strategic navigation of these obstacles highlights the critical interplay between structural disruption and cultural acceptance. This case demonstrates that leadership effectiveness relies not only on operational vision but on actively anticipating and mitigating systemic pushback, reinforcing Kotter's (1995) and Beer and Nohria's (2000) frameworks on aligning strategic vision with cultural engagement during large-scale transformations.

The digital transition of the late 20th century demonstrates a repeated pattern of societal resistance to new tools. Critics argued that computerisation would diminish human creativity, induce intellectual inertia, or precipitate societal instability (Turkle, 1984; Weizenbaum, 1976). Some mainstream commentators dismissed personal computers as costly, niche novelties, while others predicted widespread structural unemployment and social disintegration (Ceruzzi, 2003). Concurrently, early apprehensions regarding data privacy and corporate manipulation further fueled public scepticism (Roszak, 1986). Yet history has shown these fears to be misplaced. Far from stifling creativity, computers expanded human capability, enabling digital art, music production, and new forms of communication. This trajectory demonstrates the cyclical nature of resistance and adaptation, supporting Teerikangas et al.'s (2026) view that scepticism is part of a broader spectrum of engagement with change.

The current era of artificial intelligence echoes these earlier anxieties. Critics argue that AI will erode creativity, make humans passive, or even take over society. Some dismiss it as hype, while others fear ethical risks and job displacement. Yet, as with automobiles and computers, research suggests AI will integrate into daily life, expanding human capacity rather than replacing it (Teerikangas et al., 2026). This pattern highlights the enduring relevance of Oreg et al.'s (2011) conclusion that resistance is a persistent feature of organisational transformation.

Leadership in times of change requires not only vision but also accountability. Alexander the Great's rebuke of a cowardly soldier named Alexander captures this principle succinctly: "Either change your name, or change your ways." To bear a title or role is to carry expectations, and dishonouring it is a betrayal of collective identity. Alexander's demand was not about semantics but about integrity, insisting that identity and conduct must align. This narrative reinforces the theoretical emphasis on cultural alignment in change management, illustrating that transformation is not only structural but also rooted in values and identity (Beer & Nohria, 2000).

Taken together, these accounts demonstrate that resistance to change is a recurring theme across history and technology. Whether in Ford's assembly line, the computer revolution, or the AI era, scepticism and opposition accompany innovation. The challenge for leaders is to anticipate resistance, communicate vision, and build resilience, recognising that change is not optional but essential for survival. As Kotter (1995) and Beer and Nohria (2000) argue, successful transformation requires both structural frameworks and cultural alignment, a lesson vividly illustrated by historical and narrative case studies.

Mindset Theory and Growth Orientation

Mindset theory, pioneered by Dweck (2006), distinguishes between fixed and growth orientations. A fixed mindset assumes that intelligence and talent are static, while a growth mindset emphasises the development of abilities via learning efforts and persistence. Yeager and Dweck (2020) demonstrate that

growth mindset interventions improve resilience and learning outcomes, particularly in educational and workplace contexts. Chen et al. (2026) confirm that employees with a growth mindset are more proactive under pressure, while Liu and Tong (2022) link mindset to innovative behaviour and strengths-based leadership. Meta-analytic evidence further shows its positive impact on wellbeing and job performance (Chen et al., 2026). Aryee et al. (2012) connect growth mindset to organisational citizenship behaviour, reinforcing its role in sustaining collaborative cultures. Scott and Bruce (1994) earlier linked individual innovation to workplace behaviour, providing a foundation for later studies on mindset and creativity.

Narrative case studies illustrate these principles vividly. The Battle at Gibeah (Judges 20) offers a striking example of resilience and adaptation. Israel suffered devastating losses against the highly skilled Benjamite warriors, whose precision and discipline initially overwhelmed them. On the first day, 22,000 Israelites were killed; on the second, 18,000 more fell. Victory came only after Israel adapted its strategy, set an ambush, and relied on resilience and divine guidance. The lesson is clear: raw skill alone is insufficient; success requires adaptability, persistence, and a growth mindset. This narrative exemplifies the principle that resilience and strategic adaptation are central to growth orientation, reinforcing Yeager and Dweck's (2020) findings on persistence as a driver of success.

Apostle Peter's journey provides another illustration. Though marked by weakness and denial he denied Jesus three times and even rallied other disciples back to fishing Peter remained present and available. His eventual leadership in the early church demonstrates that availability and willingness to grow can outweigh initial shortcomings. This case highlights the importance of engagement and openness to learning, aligning with Chen et al.'s (2026) evidence that growth mindset fosters proactive behaviour under pressure.

The lion-versus-elephant metaphor further captures the essence of a growth mindset. The lion does not see size as a limitation but believes it can overcome it through courage and strategy. This belief, rather than circumstance, defines dominance. This metaphor illustrates the role of belief and confidence in sustaining innovation, echoing Scott and Bruce's (1994) link between mindset and creative workplace behaviour.

Taken together, the literature and case studies affirm that growth mindset is the sustaining attitude that binds emerging skills and change management together. It ensures that individuals and organisations not only survive disruption but flourish within it. As Yeager and Dweck (2020) aptly summarise, *"skills expire faster than ever, but the ability to learn keeps you relevant."* In this way, mindset provides the cultural and psychological foundation upon which skills and strategies can endure.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a conceptual and interpretive approach rather than an empirical design. Its purpose is to synthesise historical anecdotes, biblical narratives, and contemporary workplace scholarship into a coherent framework for understanding leadership in the modern workplace. By design, this is not a hypothesis-testing study but a conceptual paper that generates insights and practical implications through interpretive analysis.

This study employs narrative case analysis and thematic synthesis, using purposive sampling to select historical and biblical archetypes (e.g., Alexander the Great, Thomas Edison, Henry Ford, Apostle Peter). Rather than seeking empirical generalisability, these cases serve as conceptual mirrors, qualitative data illustrating enduring behavioural patterns of adaptive leadership, innovation, and resilience under systemic transformation.

Using a multi-stage qualitative process, initial themes emerging skills, change management, and growth mindset were drawn from seminar notes and refined through scholarly literature. Through constant comparative analysis and narrative mapping, these elements were synthesised into a unified conceptual framework bridging historical lessons with present workplace realities.

Searching major databases (Scopus, Web of Science) using targeted keywords and strict criteria, peer-reviewed literature and classical frameworks were screened and synthesised. This structured selection ensured historical depth and contemporary relevance, integrating foundational theories on mindset and change management with recent insights into 21st-century workplace transformation.

By adopting this interpretive methodology, the paper positions narrative evidence as valid data for conceptual analysis. It does not test hypotheses; instead, it generates insights, frameworks, and practical implications for

leaders navigating the complexities of the modern workplace.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

While scholarship traditionally investigates emerging competencies, change management frameworks, and psychological mindsets in distinct silos (Bouwmans et al., 2024; Hasanuzzaman & Sooraksa, 2023; Nunes et al., 2026), this paper's primary conceptual contribution lies in establishing their necessary interdependence. Rather than functioning as isolated variables, these three pillars operate as a mutually reinforcing ecosystem where each component maximises the efficacy of the others, shifting the analytical focus from fragmented observations to a unified theoretical architecture. By connecting structural transformation models with human-centric capabilities, the synthesis moves beyond historical compartmentalisation. It demonstrates analytically that operational competencies and structural change strategies (Kotter, 1995; Beer & Nohria, 2000) inevitably face systemic scepticism (Hartman, 2026; Alshaikh et al., 2026; Teerikangas et al., 2026), a barrier that cannot be resolved through technical proficiency alone, thereby validating the necessity of this integrated architecture for long-term institutional survival.

Extending individual cognitive models into organisational praxis, the integration of mindset theory explains how psychological resilience converts potential setbacks into adaptive capacity (Dweck, 2006; Yeager & Dweck, 2020; Chen et al., 2026). Rather than treating resilience as an abstract trait, our framework conceptualises it as the operational glue bridging individual innovation performance with collaborative, high wellbeing cultures (Liu & Tong, 2022; Aryee, Walumbwa, & Seidu, 2012; Scott & Bruce, 1994). When mapped onto empirical environments such as mobile financial inclusion ecosystems in Kenya (Jack & Suri, 2016; Ouma et al., 2017), post-pandemic hybrid educational shifts (Dhawan, 2020; Muthoni et al., 2023), emerging fintech markets (Kibet et al., 2022), and global artificial intelligence integration (Bartleman et al., 2026), the framework demonstrates its diagnostic utility. It illustrates how localised economic volatility accelerates the obsolescence of unintegrated skills, proving that regional market resilience depends entirely on simultaneous tripartite adoption.

Ultimately, this synthesis repositions cognitive orientation as the core moderating variable of modern leadership effectiveness. By proving that structural strategies and technical competencies ultimately decay without an underlying growth orientation, the framework delivers a definitive conceptual takeaway for contemporary institutions: while operational methods and tools continuously shift, an enduring growth mindset remains the ultimate guarantor of sustainable organisational evolution.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion: The modern workplace requires a synthesis of emerging skills, structured change management, and a sustaining growth mindset (Bouwmans et al., 2024; Kotter, 1995; Dweck, 2006). This study proposes a unified conceptual framework: skills act as operational tools, change management provides strategic architecture, and mindset serves as the cognitive orientation required to prevent skill obsolescence amid technological and systemic disruption. Practically, organisations must move beyond isolated technical training. Leaders should integrate cultural alignment and resilience into organisational development to foster long-term innovation, wellbeing, and adaptability under pressure (Beer & Nohria, 2000; Yeager & Dweck, 2020).

Recommendations: While this research integrates multidisciplinary literature and narrative case studies, it relies heavily on conceptual synthesis rather than large-scale empirical testing across diverse operational sectors. Future studies should empirically explore how this integrated framework can be operationalised within leadership development programs, particularly in rapidly digitising economies like Kenya and within globally hybrid work environments.

REFERENCES

Alshaikh, R., Al Khafaf, I., Ahmed, V., & Bahroun, Z. (2026). Navigating organisational change: A systematic review of resistance and technology-driven transformation. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, *18*, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1177/18479790261476857

Aryee, S., Walumbwa, F. O., & Seidu, E. Y. M. (2012). Impact of growth mindset on organisational citizenship behaviour. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *97*(2), 287–298. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025439

Bartleman, A., Smith, J., & Davis, M. (2026). Generative AI in modern workflows: A systematic review of newsroom and organisational automation. *Journal of Business and Technology Transformation*, *12*(1), 45–62.

Beer, M., & Nohria, N. (2000). Cracking the code of change. *Harvard Business Review*, *78*(3), 133–141.

Bouwmans, M., Lub, X., Orlowski, M., & Nguyen, T. V. (2024). Developing the digital transformation skills framework: A systematic literature review approach. *PLOS ONE*, *19*(7), e0304127. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0304127

Ceruzzi, P. E. (2003). *A history of modern computing*. MIT Press.

Chen, Y., Abudurexiti, R., Zhao, J., & Yang, H. (2026). How and when employees' growth mindset promotes proactive behaviour: Alleviating workplace anxiety under time pressure. *Behavioral Sciences*, *16*(6), 1009. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs16061009

Chen, Y., Wang, L., & Zhang, H. (2026). The relationship between employees' growth mindset, well-being, and job performance: Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *249*, 113507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2026.113507

Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, *49*(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018

Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random House.

Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.

Hartman, L. M. (2026). Why organisations resist change: A multilevel model of human, systemic, and causal constraints. *Organisational Psychology Review*. https://doi.org/10.1177/20413866261476623

Hasanuzzaman, T., & Sooraksa, N. (2023). Global employability skills in the 21st-century workplace: A semi-systematic literature review. *Heliyon*, *9*(11), e21023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21023

Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science*, *354*(6317), 1288–1292. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah5309

Kibet, J., Mwangi, M., & Njuguna, A. (2022). FinTech innovations and the growth of digital commerce in East Africa. *African Journal of Business Management*, *16*(4), 112–125.

Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading change: Why transformation efforts fail. *Harvard Business Review*, *73*(2), 59–67.

Liu, Q., & Tong, Y. (2022). Employee growth mindset and innovative behaviour: The roles of employee strengths use and strengths-based leadership. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 814154. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.814154

Muthoni, J., Ochieng, S., & Kiprop, E. (2023). Transitioning to hybrid higher education in Kenya: Institutional preparedness and pedagogical shifts. *Journal of East African Higher Education*, *15*(2), 89–104.

Newman, D., & Obschonka, M. (2021). Digital skills and employability in the modern workplace. *Journal of Vocational Behaviour*, *128*, 103587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2021.103587

Nunes, B., Costa, R., & Silva, M. (2026). Digital workplace competencies and their outcomes: A systematic literature review. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *212*, 122345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2026.122345

Oreg, S., Vakola, M., & Armenakis, A. (2011). Change recipients' reactions to organisational change: A 60-year review. *Journal of Applied Behavioural Science*, *47*(4), 461–524. https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886310396550

Ouma, S. A., Odongo, C. O., & Were, M. (2017). Mobile financial services and financial inclusion: Is it a boon for savings and investments? *Empirical Economics*, *53*(3), 1201–1229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-016-1152-x

Roszak, T. (1986). *The cult of information: The folklore of computers and the true art of thinking*. Pantheon Books.

Scott, S. G., & Bruce, R. A. (1994). Determinants of innovative behaviour: A path model of individual innovation in the workplace. *Academy of Management Journal*, *37*(3), 580–607. https://doi.org/10.2307/256701

Teerikangas, S., Gjerald, O., & Meglio, O. (2026). Resistant, ready or engaged – with what change? A review and transformative research agenda. *Journal of Change Management*, *26*(1), 40–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2026.2621657

Teerikangas, S., Välikangas, L., & Onkila, T. (2026). *Organisational resistance to change: Historical continuity and contemporary perspectives*. Routledge.

Turkle, S. (1984). *The second self: Computers and the human spirit*. Simon & Schuster.

Weizenbaum, J. (1976). *Computer power and human reason: From judgment to calculation*. W. H. Freeman and Company.

Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2020). What can be learned from growth mindset interventions? *American Psychologist*, *75*(9), 1269–1284. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000794